UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

29934

759

09/30/2009

PALMER & DODGE, LLP RICHARD B. SMITH 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02199

| EXAMINER | | | |
|------------|--------------|--|--|
| VU, TUAN A | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2102 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2009

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/757.975 | 01/15/2004 | Pawel Z. Chadzvnski | 20768/2012 | 4258 |

TITLE OF INVENTION: SYNCHRONOUS AND ASYNCHRONOUS COLLABORATION BETWEEN HETEROGENEOUS APPLICATIONS

| APPLN. TYPE | SMALL ENTITY | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|
| nonprovisional | NO | \$1510 | \$300 | \$0 | \$1810 | 12/30/2009 |

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where ar in m

| appropriate. All further co indicated unless corrected maintenance fee notification | orrespondence includin below or directed oth | g the Patent, advance o erwise in Block 1, by (| rders and notification of a) specifying a new corre | maintenance fees w espondence address; | ill be mailed to the curren and/or (b) indicating a sep | and the completed where the correspondence address as parate "FEE ADDRESS" for |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address) 29934 7590 09/30/2009 | | | Fee | Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission. | | |
| | | | hav | | _ | |
| PALMER & DODGE, LLP RICHARD B. SMITH 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE | | I h Sta adc trai | ereby certify that thi | ificate of Mailing or Trans Fee(s) Transmittal is bein ith sufficient postage for fi Stop ISSUE FEE address TO (571) 273-2885, on the | ismission deposited with the United rst class mail in an envelope s above, or being facsimile date indicated below. | |
| BOSTON, MA 02 | 2199 | | | | | (Depositor's name) |
| | | | | | | (Signature) |
| | | | | | | (Date) |
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | 3 | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/757,975 | 01/15/2004 | | Pawel Z. Chadzynski | | 20768/2012 | 4258 |
| APPLN. TYPE | SMALL ENTITY | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE | FEE TOTAL FEE(S) DU | E DATE DUE |
| nonprovisional | NO | \$1510 | \$300 | \$0 | \$1810 | 12/30/2009 |
| EXAMIN | IER | ART UNIT | CLASS-SUBCLASS | 7 | | |
| VU, TUA | AN A | 2193 | 717-164000 | J | | |
| 1. Change of correspondent CFR 1.363). | ce address or indication | n of "Fee Address" (37 | 2. For printing on the | | | |
| Change of correspon | idence address (or Chai | nge of Correspondence | (1) the names of up to or agents OR, alternat | ively, | | |
| "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. | | (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. | | | | |
| 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND | D RESIDENCE DATA | TO BE PRINTED ON | THE PATENT (print or ty | vpe) | | |
| PLEASE NOTE: Unles recordation as set forth i | s an assignee is identi in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp | fied below, no assignee detion of this form is NO | data will appear on the p T a substitute for filing an | patent. If an assigne assignment. | ee is identified below, the | document has been filed for |
| (A) NAME OF ASSIGN | NEE | | (B) RESIDENCE: (CIT | Y and STATE OR C | OUNTRY) | |
| Please check the appropriat | te assignee category or | categories (will not be p | rinted on the patent): | Individual 🗖 Co | rporation or other private g | roup entity 🚨 Government |
| 4a. The following fee(s) are | e submitted: | 4 | b. Payment of Fee(s): (Ple A check is enclosed. | ase first reapply an | y previously paid issue fe | e shown above) |
| Publication Fee (No | small entity discount p | ermitted) | Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. | | | |
| Advance Order - # o | | | The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form). | | | |
| 5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above) a. Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27. b. Applicant is no longer claiming SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)(2). | | | CFR 1.27(g)(2). | | | |
| NOTE: The Issue Fee and I interest as shown by the red | Publication Fee (if requeords of the United Sta | uired) will not be accepte tes Patent and Trademark | d from anyone other than Office. | the applicant; a regis | stered attorney or agent; or | the assignee or other party in |
| Authorized Signature | | | | Data | | |
| Authorized Signature Typed or printed name | | | | 0 | | |
| - | | | | | | nd by the USPTO to process) |
| an application. Confidentia submitting the completed a this form and/or suggestion Box 1450, Alexandria, Virg Alexandria, Virginia 22313 Under the Paperwork Redu | lity is governed by 35 application form to the as for reducing this burginia 22313-1450. DO 3-1450. | U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary den, should be sent to the NOT SEND FEES OR | 1.14. This collection is estable to the depending upon the indigent complete the co | stimated to take 12 n vidual case. Any coper, U.S. Patent and O THIS ADDRESS | ninutes to complete, including ments on the amount of the frademark Office, U.S. De SEND TO: Commissione | ing gathering, preparing, and ime you require to complete partment of Commerce, P.O. r for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/757,975 | 01/15/2004 | Pawel Z. Chadzynski | 20768/2012 | 4258 |
| 29934 75 | 90 09/30/2009 | | EXAM | INER |
| PALMER & DO | DGE, LLP | | VU, TU | JAN A |
| RICHARD B. SM | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02199 | | 2193 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2009 | |

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 607 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 607 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/757,975 | CHADZYNSKI, F | PAWEL Z. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | TUAN A. VU | 2193 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>TUAN A. VU</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| 2) <u>Brian Landry</u> . (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 28 September 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | ²)∏ applicant's representative | ·] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and all independent claims</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | nature of what was agreed to | if an agreement | was | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Tuan A Vu/ | | | | | | |
| Evaminar Art Unit 2102 | | | | | | |

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the discussion was to expand the limitation as "buffering" of "application state file" within a receiving user (e.g. in the independent claims) such that the buffering supports delay and selective loading of accepted (or non-rejected) state files by such user into the user design or session; that is, the buffered design state data coming from concurrent collaborating users to be subjected in a delayed manner within the receiving user for user determination if such data is fit for the user's aspect of the design; the buffering to enhance the shared design state endeavor effectuated via communication of data among concurrent users performing each one aspect of the collaborative design via state file passing paradigm. The agreed upon language to effectuate the buffering limitation as proposed in the discussion was utilized as basis for an Examiner's Amendment.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/757,975 | CHADZYNSKI, PAWEL Z. | | | |
| Notice of Allowability | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | TUAN A. VU | 2193 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperatus being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | ears on the cover sheet with the country (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308. | orrespondence address plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS | | | |
| 1. This communication is responsive to <u>submission per 7/31/6</u> | <u>J9</u> . | | | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7, 9-12, 17-29 (renum 1-24)</u> . | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received in Application No | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been received in this | national stage application from the | | | |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | | complying with the requirements | | | |
| 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | | | | | |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus | st be submitted. | | | | |
| (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers | on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO | -948) attached | | | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | · | | | |
| (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date | s Amendment / Comment or in the C | Office action of | | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t | | | | | |
| 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL (FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC | must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. ☐ Notice of Informal F | Patent Application | | | |
| 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ⊠ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da | | | | |
| 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 7/31/09 | 7. 🛛 Examiner's Amend | | | | |
| Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit 8. 🛛 Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance | | | | |
| /Tuan A Vu/ | 5. <u>G</u> 58161 | | | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2193 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/757,975 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the Applicant's response filed 7/31/09.

As indicated in Applicant's response, claims 1, 17, 23-24, 26 have been amended. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 17-31 are pending in the office action.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Brian Landry for Ralph Loren, Reg. # 29,325 on 9/26-09/28/09

The application has been amended as follows.

In the **CLAIMS**:

The claims have been amended according to the herein attached Amendment, namely, "Supplemental Claim Amendments for Enabling Examiners' Amendment", provided as file "AmendM_092809.pdf"

EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

4. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 17-29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

The prior art taken separately or jointly does not suggest or teach the following features.

A method for collaborating over the NW a design of electrical or mechanical assemblies using heterogeneous user applications comprising

(i) client session with sharing of control messages with other session client processes and creating of application state file representing application state of the design, where manipulating of said design includes:

presenting the first application state file created by another user on another local application to a user of the session client process to allow the user to refuse or delay loading of the first application state file; and

(ii) if the user applies to delay loading of the first application state file:

buffering the first application state file at the application layer to allow the user to incorporate the buffered first application state file into the design data at a later user-determined time; and loading the first application state file created by the other users on the other local applications at a time determined by the user of the session client process;-

(iii) wherein the buffering of incoming application state files enables the user of the client process to selectively apply other users' changes to the design, thereby allowing the user of the session client process to manipulate a first aspect of the design before loading changes made to a second aspect of the design by the other users within the other users' respective local applications;

and this "buffering" for delay approach is conveyed in claims 1, 11, 17, 22-24, 26.

Notani (USPN: 6,567,783) in light of Thackston, USPN: 6,928,396 does not teach or suggest 'buffering' of application state files regarding design data (i.e. design of electrical or mechanical assemblies) based on incoming of state files (or control messages) from concurrent collaborating users to effectuate or manipulate a given aspect of the design approach which is implemented via shared application/design and heterogeneous client users, each developing individual aspect of the design; where each session-specific user can create a state application file to be communicated as (control) state data to other clients, and as these state files are buffered into one receiving user, the user can choose to delay incorporation of the buffered application state data into that user's instance or aspect of the design until a user-determined time at which, any non-refused state data from heterogeneous collaborating sessions will be loaded,

Art Unit: 2193

whereby the user can manipulate his aspect of the design based on the desired state file from other users, as set forth in (i) (ii) and (iii).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3735. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-4:30PM/Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on (571)272-3759.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-3735 (for non-official correspondence - please consult Examiner before using) or 571-273-8300 (for official correspondence) or redirected to customer service at 571-272-3609.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/757,975

Art Unit: 2193

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

/Tuan A Vu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

Septembre 28, 2009